Renormalizations in softly broken N=1 theories: Slavnov-Taylor identities

Igor Kondrashuk¹

SISSA – ISAS and INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Via Beirut 2-4, I-34013, Trieste, Italy

Abstract

Slavnov–Taylor identities have been applied to perform explicitly the renormalization procedure for the softly broken N=1 SYM. The result is in accordance with the previous results obtained at the level of supergraph technique.

Keywords:

background superfields, BRST symmetry, Slavnov-Taylor identities

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{E\text{-}mail:}$ ikond@sissa.it, on leave of absence from LNP, JINR, Dubna, Russia

1 Introduction

One of the ways to break supersymmetry is to introduce into the supersymmetric theory interactions with background superfields that are space-time independent. The relation between the theory with softly broken supersymmetry and its rigid counterpart has been studied in Refs. ([1]-[6]). The investigation has been performed for singular parts of the effective actions of softly broken and rigid theories. Since the only modification of the classical action from the rigid case to the softly broken case is a replacement of coupling constants of the rigid theory with background superfields, the relation is simple and can be reduced to substitutions of these superfields into renormalization constants of the rigid theory instead of the rigid theory couplings [4, 5]. Later, a relation between full correlators of softly broken and unbroken SUSY quantum mechanics has been found [7]. More recently, nonperturbative results for the terms of the effective action which correspond to the case when chiral derivatives do not act on background superfields have been derived [8].

The renormalization of the soft theory has been made on the basis of supergraph technique in the Ref.[5]. Here we perform the renormalization procedure for the softly broken theory using Slavnov–Taylor identities.

The notation used for the D4 supersymmetry and for the classical action $S^{\mathbb{R}}$ (R means "rigid") of the theory without softly broken supersymmetry is given in the Appendix. To have a possibility to compare with the case of softly broken supersymmetry the renormalization procedure for the rigid N=1 SYM is reviewed in the Appendix.

2 N=1 Softly Broken Theories

The classical action S^{S} (the superscript S means "soft") with softly broken supersymmetry repeats the rigid action S^{R} (A.2) except for the replacement couplings of the theory with background x-independent superfields,

$$S^{S} = \int d^{4}y d^{2}\theta \ S \frac{1}{2^{7}} \operatorname{Tr} \ W_{\alpha} W^{\alpha} + \int d^{4}\bar{y} d^{2}\bar{\theta} \ \bar{S} \frac{1}{2^{7}} \operatorname{Tr} \ \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$+ \int d^{4}x d^{2}\theta d^{2}\bar{\theta} \ \bar{\Phi}^{i}(e^{V})_{i}^{\ j} K_{j}^{\ k} \Phi_{k}$$

$$+ \int d^{4}y d^{2}\theta \left[\tilde{y}^{ijk} \Phi_{i} \Phi_{j} \Phi_{k} + \widetilde{M}^{ij} \Phi_{i} \Phi_{j} \right] + \int d^{4}\bar{y} d^{2}\bar{\theta} \left[\bar{\tilde{y}}_{ijk} \bar{\Phi}^{i} \bar{\Phi}^{j} \bar{\Phi}^{k} + \overline{\widetilde{M}}_{ij} \bar{\Phi}^{i} \bar{\Phi}^{j} \right].$$

$$(1)$$

The indices of the matter superfields are reducible. They run over irreducible representations and members of them. The external background x-independent superfields S, K_i^j , and \tilde{y}_{ijk} are

$$S = \frac{1}{g^2} \left(1 - 2m_A \theta^2 \right), \quad \bar{S} = \frac{1}{g^2} \left(1 - 2\bar{m}_A \bar{\theta}^2 \right),$$

$$K_i^j = \delta_i^j + \left(m^2 \right)_i^j \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2,$$

$$\tilde{y}_{ijk} = y_{ijk} + A_{ijk} \theta^2, \quad \bar{\tilde{y}}_{ijk} = \bar{y}_{ijk} + \bar{A}_{ijk} \bar{\theta}^2,$$

$$\widetilde{M}_{ij} = M_{ij} + B_{ij}\theta^2, \quad \overline{\widetilde{M}}_{ij} = \overline{M}_{ij} + \overline{B}_{ij}\overline{\theta}^2.$$

These superfields break supersymmetry in a soft way since they are not included in the supersymmetry transformation at the component level.

3 Slavnov–Taylor Identities

In the rest of the paper we concentrate on the gauge part of the action. The renormalization of the chiral matter superfields is trivial and is evident from the supergraph technique [1, 5].

To fix the gauge we have to add the gauge fixing term and the ghost terms to the action (1) which we choose in a slightly different manner in comparison with the rigid case (A.3),

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \, \frac{1}{16} \text{Tr} \, \left(\bar{D}^2 \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right) \left(D^2 \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right) \\
+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \, \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \, b \, \bar{D}^2 \left(\frac{\delta_{\bar{c},c} V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right) + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \, \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \, \bar{b} \, D^2 \left(\frac{\delta_{\bar{c},c} V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right).$$

where b and \bar{b} are antighost chiral and antichiral superfields, and c and \bar{c} are ghost chiral and antichiral superfields, respectively. Everywhere in this paper we consider the non-zero highest components of the couplings as an insertion into the rigid theory supergraphs. Such a choice of the gauge fixing term and the ghost terms means that we fix the gauge arbitrariness by imposing the condition

$$D^{2} \frac{V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta})}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} = \bar{f}(\bar{y},\bar{\theta}), \quad \bar{D}^{2} \frac{V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta})}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} = f(y,\theta), \tag{2}$$

where f and \bar{f} are arbitrary chiral and antichiral functions. This allows us to consider the gauge fixing constant $\tilde{\alpha}$ as an external x-independent background superfield on the same foot with the soft couplings and the soft masses of the softly broken action (1). This modification of the gauge fixing condition is important even at the level of supergraph technique [5]. As it will be clear below this modification is the necessary way to remove divergences from the effective action of the softly broken theory using Slavnov-Taylor identities.

Hence, the total gauge part of the classical action (1) is

$$S_{\text{gauge}}^{\text{S}} = \int d^4y d^2\theta \ S \frac{1}{2^7} \text{Tr} \ W_{\alpha} W^{\alpha} + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \ \bar{S} \frac{1}{2^7} \text{Tr} \ \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ \frac{1}{16} \text{Tr} \ \left(\bar{D}^2 \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right) \left(D^2 \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right)$$

$$+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \ \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \ b \ \bar{D}^2 \left(\frac{\delta_{\bar{c},c} V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right) + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \ \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \ \bar{b} \ D^2 \left(\frac{\delta_{\bar{c},c} V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right).$$

$$(3)$$

The action (3) is invariant under the same BRST symmetry as the rigid gauge action (3) is except for the transformation of the antighost superfields which is a little different from that we have in the rigid case (A.7)

$$e^{V} \to e^{i\bar{c}\varepsilon} e^{V} e^{ic\varepsilon}, \qquad \delta b = \frac{1}{32} \left(\bar{D}^{2} D^{2} \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right) \varepsilon$$

$$c \to c + ic^{2}\varepsilon, \qquad \delta \bar{b} = \frac{1}{32} \left(D^{2} \bar{D}^{2} \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \right) \varepsilon,$$

$$\bar{c} \to \bar{c} - i\bar{c}^{2}\varepsilon.$$

$$(4)$$

with a Hermitian Grassmannian parameter ε , $\varepsilon^{\dagger} = \varepsilon$.

The path integral describing the quantum soft theory is defined in the same way as the path integral (A.8) of the rigid theory is defined,

$$Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}, \rho, \bar{\rho}, K, L, \bar{L}] = \int dV \ dc \ d\bar{c} \ db \ d\bar{b} \ \exp i \left[S_{\text{gauge}}^{\text{S}} + 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left(JV + i\eta c + i\bar{\eta}\bar{c} + i\rho b + i\bar{\rho}\bar{b} \right) + 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left(iK\delta_{\bar{c},c}V + Lc^2 + \bar{L}\bar{c}^2 \right) \right].$$
 (5)

The third term in the brackets is the BRST invariant since the external superfields K and L are BRST invariant by definition. All fields in the path integral are in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. For the sake of brevity we omit the symbol of integration in the terms with external sources, keeping in mind that it is the full superspace measure for vector superfields and the chiral measure for chiral superfields.

The ghost equation that is a reflection of invariance of the path integral (5) under the change of variables

$$b \to b + \varepsilon, \quad \bar{b} \to \bar{b} + \bar{\varepsilon}$$

with an arbitrary chiral superfield ε must be modified in comparison with the ghost equation of the rigid theory (A.9) taking into account the modified BRST transformation of the antighost field (4). As the result, two ghost equations can be derived

$$\bar{\rho} - i\frac{1}{4}D^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta K} = 0, \quad \rho - i\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta K} = 0.$$

The Legendre transformation (A.11) that has been done in the Appendix for the rigid case can be repeated here without changes. Taking into account the relations (A.10) and (A.12), the ghost equations can be represented as

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{b}} - \frac{1}{4}D^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta b} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K} = 0.$$
 (6)

If the change of fields (4) in the path integral (5) is made we get the Slavnov-Taylor identity as the result of invariance of the integral (5) under a change of variables. There is complete analogy with the rigid case (A.14) except for a little difference caused by the

modified transformation of the antighost superfield in (4). The Slavnov–Taylor identities for the theory (5) are

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta V}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K} - i\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta c}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta L} + i\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{c}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{L}} - \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta b}\left(\frac{1}{32}\bar{D}^2D^2\frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right) - \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{b}}\left(\frac{1}{32}D^2\bar{D}^2\frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right)\right] = 0.$$
 (7)

4 Renormalizations of the Softly Broken SYM

The identities (6) and (7) allow us to remove all possible divergences from the effective action Γ by rescaling superfields and couplings in the classical action (3). Indeed, the identity (6) restricts the dependence of Γ on the antighost superfields and on the external source K to an arbitrary dependence on their combination

$$(b+\bar{b})\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}+K.$$

This means that the corresponding singular part of the effective action is

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \mathrm{Tr}\left((b+\bar{b})\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}+K\right) \ \tilde{A}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}),$$

where $\tilde{A}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta})$ is a combination of c,\bar{c},V . By index counting arguments we know that the singular part repeats the structure of the classical action (3) up to coefficients. Hence, $\tilde{A}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta})$ starts from the $\tilde{z}_1(c+\bar{c})$, since Γ is Hermitian. Here \tilde{z}_1 is a constant that can be found by using the supergraph technique.

Now we can compare the renormalization constants \tilde{z}_1 and z_1 . The constant z_1 is obtained from \tilde{z}_1 by putting all higher components of the soft couplings, of the soft masses, and of the gauge fixing coupling $\tilde{\alpha}$ in the action (1) equal to zero. In this case z_1 is a little different constant than that is appeared in the Appendix, since that rigid theory (A.8) has another gauge fixing condition. Taking into account arguments based on the index of divergence and keeping in mind the absence of chiral derivatives in the ghost parts of the actions (A.6) and (3) we can see that

$$\tilde{z}_1 \left(\tilde{g}^2, \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) = z_1 \left(g^2 \to \tilde{g}^2, \sqrt{\alpha} \to \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} \right), \tag{8}$$

$$\tilde{g}^2 = g^2 \left(1 + m_A \theta^2 + \bar{m}_A \bar{\theta}^2 + 2m_A \bar{m}_A \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 \right) = \left(\frac{S + \bar{S}}{2} \right)^{-1}.$$

The substitution $g^2 \to \tilde{g}^2$ becomes obvious if we remember that we consider higher components of the gauge coupling as insertions into the vector propagator and into the vector vertices in supergraphs [1, 5]. In short words, the arguments of Refs. [1, 5] are the following. Since the action of a chiral derivative on spurions means decreasing the index

of divergence inherited from a rigid diagram, a supergraph with logarithmic divergence becomes convergent in this case. Hence, for the divergent part all spurions must be taken out of a supergraph together with rigid couplings.

By the same reason we take out of a supergraph the external superfield $\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}$. Under the condition

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{q}^2$$

we get the result obtained in the Ref. [5] at the supergraph level for the renormalization constants that become x-independent vector superfields,

$$\tilde{z}_1 = z_1 \left(g^2 \to \tilde{g}^2 \right).$$

In the same way as it takes place in the rigid case, the Slavnov–Taylor identity (7) fixes the coefficient before the longitudinal part of the 2-point vector Green's function. Indeed, by using projectors from (A.1) the infinite part of the 2-point vector correlator can be decomposed as

$$V\left(D,\tilde{z}_{a},\bar{D},\tilde{z}_{b},D,\tilde{z}_{c},\bar{D},\tilde{z}_{d}\right)V=V\left(D,\tilde{z}_{a},\bar{D},\tilde{z}_{b},D,\tilde{z}_{c},\bar{D},\tilde{z}_{d}\right)\frac{D^{\alpha}D^{2}D_{\alpha}}{8\Box}V$$

$$-V\left(D,\tilde{z}_{a},\bar{D},\tilde{z}_{b},D,\tilde{z}_{c},\bar{D},\tilde{z}_{d}\right)\frac{D^{2}\bar{D}^{2}+\bar{D}^{2}D^{2}}{16\Box}V,$$
(9)

where the four derivatives in parenthesis can stand in some (in general, unknown) way. The difference from the rigid case decomposition (A.15) of the 2-point vector correlator is in a possible presence of x-independent background superfields $\tilde{z}_a, \tilde{z}_b, \tilde{z}_c, \tilde{z}_d$ between these derivatives.

The identity (7) means that these four derivatives in the second term of this decomposition must cancel \Box in the denominator and the longitudinal term is reduced to the form

$$\tilde{z}_2 \frac{1}{32} \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \left(D^2 \bar{D}^2 + \bar{D}^2 D^2 \right) \tilde{z}_2 \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}.$$

It is not difficult to check that the Slavnov–Taylor identity also gives that $\tilde{z}_2 = 1$, that is, there is no infinite correction to the longitudinal part of the 2-point vector Green's function in the soft case. The same arguments can be applied even in the case of the total effective action, taking into account the whole dependence of the effective action Γ on the combination

$$(b+\bar{b})\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}+K.$$

Hence, there is no finite correction to the longitudinal part of the 2-point vector correlator in the soft case.

Now it is necessary to consider contributions in $\tilde{A}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta})$ of the next orders in fields. For example, the third order terms can be presented as

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(b+\bar{b}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}+K\right) \ \left[\tilde{z}_1(c+\bar{c})+\tilde{z}_4\left(Vc+\bar{c}V\right)+\tilde{z}_5\left(cV+V\bar{c}\right)\right] \\
+\int d^4y d^2\theta \ 2\operatorname{Tr} \ \tilde{z}_6Lc^2+\int d^4\bar{y}d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2\operatorname{Tr} \ \tilde{\bar{z}}_6\bar{L}\bar{c}^2 \tag{10}$$

By the no-renormalization theorem for the superpotential [9] we get

$$\tilde{z}_6 = \bar{\tilde{z}}_6 = 1.$$

To fix the constants \tilde{z}_4 and \tilde{z}_5 , we make the change of variables in the effective action Γ

$$\Gamma\left[V,c,\bar{c},b,\bar{b},K,L,\bar{L}\right] = \Gamma\left[V(\tilde{V}),c,\bar{c},b,\bar{b},K(\tilde{K}),L,\bar{L}\right] = \tilde{\Gamma}\left[\tilde{V},c,\bar{c},b,\bar{b},\tilde{K},L,\bar{L}\right],$$

$$V = \tilde{V}\tilde{z}_{1}, \quad K = \frac{\tilde{K}}{\tilde{z}_{1}}.$$
(11)

The Slavnov–Taylor identity (7) in the new variables is

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\tilde{V}}\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\tilde{K}} - i\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta c}\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta L} + i\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\bar{c}}\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\bar{L}} - \frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta b}\left(\frac{1}{32}\bar{D}^{2}D^{2}\frac{\tilde{V}\tilde{z}_{1}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right) - \frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\bar{b}}\left(\frac{1}{32}D^{2}\bar{D}^{2}\frac{\tilde{V}\tilde{z}_{1}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right)\right] = 0.$$

$$(12)$$

The part of the effective action (10) in the new variables looks like

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b}) \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} + \tilde{K} \right) \left[(c + \bar{c}) + \tilde{z}_4' \left(\tilde{V}c + \bar{c}\tilde{V} \right) + \tilde{z}_5' \left(c\tilde{V} + \tilde{V}\bar{c} \right) \right]
+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \ 2\text{Tr} \ Lc^2 + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2\text{Tr} \ \bar{L}\bar{c}^2,$$
(13)

where \tilde{z}_4' and \tilde{z}_5' are new constants.

The higher order terms in the brackets of (13) are restored unambiguously by themselves in the iterative way due to the first three terms in the modified identities (12). As the result, we have

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b}) \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} + \tilde{K} \right) \ \left[\delta_{\bar{c},c} \tilde{V} \right]. \tag{14}$$

Now it is necessary to consider the transversal part of the 2-point vector correlator. Having made the change of variables in the effective action (11), we see that the only structures of derivatives in the 2-point vector Green's function

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ \tilde{z}_1 \tilde{V} \left(D, \tilde{z}_a, \bar{D}, \tilde{z}_b, D, \tilde{z}_c, \bar{D}, \tilde{z}_d \right) \tilde{z}_1 \tilde{V}$$

which are allowed by the modified identities (12) are

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} S \frac{1}{2^5} f(S) \left(D_\alpha \tilde{V} \right) \left(\bar{D}^2 D^\alpha \tilde{V} \right) + \text{H.c.}$$

$$+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{32} \frac{\tilde{z}_1 \tilde{V}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \left(D^2 \bar{D}^2 + \bar{D}^2 D^2 \right) \frac{\tilde{z}_1 \tilde{V}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}.$$
(15)

Here we have used the dependence of the singular part of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on the external source \tilde{K} which has already been fixed by (14). The function f must be a chiral superfield.

Since the function f is obtained from the background superfields in the case when chiral derivatives do not act on them, it can be obtained as the result of the change of rigid theory couplings with background superfields. But we have only one chiral background superfield which is the soft gauge coupling S. Hence, f(S) can be obtained from the corresponding coefficient of the rigid theory by the change

$$\frac{1}{q^2} \to S.$$

In the limit of constant gauge coupling we have

$$\int d^4y d^2\theta \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^7} \, z_1^2 \, z_3 \, \left(\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha \tilde{V} \right) \left(\bar{D}^2 D^\alpha \tilde{V} \right) + \text{H.c.},$$

where z_1 and z_3 are renormalization constants of the rigid theory. Hence, we can derive that

$$f(S)|_{\theta^2=0} = z_3 \ z_1^2 = z_{g^2}, \quad f(S) \equiv \tilde{z}_S(S) = z_{g^2} \left(\frac{1}{g^2} \to S\right).$$
 (16)

Hence, the renormalization constants (\tilde{z}_S, z_{g^2}) are not related like in the rule (8) for the pair (\tilde{z}_1, z_1) , but are related in the holomorphic way (16).

The first term in the modified identity (12) will restore in the iterative way higher order terms starting from the bilinear transversal 2-point correlator (15). Hence, the result of this restoration is

$$\int d^4y d^2\theta \ S \frac{1}{27} \tilde{z}_S \text{Tr} \ W_{\alpha}(\tilde{V}) W^{\alpha}(\tilde{V}) + \text{H.c.}$$
(17)

Hence, chiral (or antichiral) parts of the vector renormalization couplings are of importance only if we say about the renormalization of the soft gauge coupling S. This result is in accordance with our previous results [5] obtained from the analysis of divergences in supergraphs.

The following notation is used for brevity in (17)

$$W^{\alpha}(V) \equiv \bar{D}^{2} \left(e^{-V} D^{\alpha} e^{V} \right).$$

The singular part of the effective action $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be written as a combination of (17) and (14),

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{sing}} = \int d^4y d^2\theta \ S \frac{1}{2^7} \ \tilde{z}_S \ \text{Tr} \ W_{\alpha}(\tilde{V}) W^{\alpha}(\tilde{V}) + \text{H.c.}
+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ \text{Tr} \frac{1}{32} \frac{\tilde{z}_1 \tilde{V}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \left(D^2 \bar{D}^2 + \bar{D}^2 D^2 \right) \frac{\tilde{z}_1 \tilde{V}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}
+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b}) \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} + \tilde{K} \right) \left[\delta_{\bar{c},c} \tilde{V} \right].$$

Now we should go back to the initial variables V and K, that is, we should made the change of variables in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ reversed to (11). Hence, the singular part of the effective action which corresponds to the theory with the classical action (3) is

$$\Gamma_{\text{sing}} = \int d^4 y d^2 \theta \ S \frac{1}{2^7} \ \tilde{z}_S \text{Tr} \ W_\alpha \left(\frac{V}{\tilde{z}_1}\right) W^\alpha \left(\frac{V}{\tilde{z}_1}\right) + \text{H.c.}
+ \int d^4 x d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \ \text{Tr} \frac{1}{32} \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} \left(D^2 \bar{D}^2 + \bar{D}^2 D^2\right) \frac{V}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}}
+ \int d^4 x d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b}) \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}}} + K \tilde{z}_1\right) \left[\delta_{\bar{c},c} \left(\frac{V}{\tilde{z}_1}\right)\right].$$
(18)

Hence, all divergences can be removed from Γ_{sing} by the following rescaling of fields and couplings in the path integral (5)

$$V = V_R \tilde{z}_1, \quad S = S_R \tilde{z}_S^{-1} \quad \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} = \tilde{z}_1 \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}_R}, \quad K = K_R \tilde{z}_1^{-1}. \tag{19}$$

5 Conclusions and Discussions

In this paper the relations (8) and (16) between the renormalization constants of the softly broken SYM and their prototypes from the corresponding rigid theory which have been found in Ref. [4] starting from the Hisano–Shifman nonperturbative result [2] and in Ref. [5] starting from the supergraph technique for vector vertices have been derived from the Slavnov–Taylor identities. It has been shown that the modification (2) of the gauge fixing condition is necessary and important for the renormalization procedure in the softly broken SYM.

It is clear from the analysis performed here that instead of a space-time independent soft gauge coupling we could consider any chiral superfield without changing the proof given in this paper. This can be important for the models in which supersymmetry breaking is communicated to the observable world through the interactions with messengers. In these models S is a messenger superfield which can gain vacuum expectation value for its highest component due to interactions with a hidden sector. [3, 6, 13]. This idea with a toy model for a hidden sector has been considered in Ref. [14].

As to the relation between chiral matter renormalization constants of the soft theory and those of the rigid theory, it has been established in Ref. [1] as substitutions

of background superfields into rigid renormalization constants instead of rigid couplings. The result of these substitutions can be described as in the Refs. [4, 5] through differential operators that act in the coupling constants space of the rigid theory. The same operators can be used to relate soft and rigid renormalization group functions [4, 5]. Possible applications of the relations between soft and rigid RG functions to the analysis of phenomenological models can be found in Refs. [5, 3, 6, 15].

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Antonio Masiero for many discussions. This work is supported by INFN.

Appendix.

Our supersymmetric notation are

$$(\psi \sigma_{m} \bar{\chi}) \equiv \psi_{\alpha} \sigma_{m}^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\psi \sigma_{m} \bar{\chi})^{\dagger} = \left(\chi \sigma_{m} \bar{\psi}\right),$$

$$\sigma_{m}^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} = (I, \sigma_{i}), \quad \bar{\sigma}_{m}^{\dot{\beta} \alpha} = \sigma_{m}^{\alpha \dot{\beta}},$$

$$\chi^{\alpha} = \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \chi_{\beta}, \quad \epsilon^{12} = -1,$$

$$\theta^{2} = -\theta_{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{2} = -\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta^{2\dagger} = \bar{\theta}^{2},$$

$$\theta_{\alpha} \theta_{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} \theta^{2}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta^{\alpha} \theta^{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \theta^{2},$$

$$\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \bar{\theta}^{2}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \bar{\theta}^{2},$$

$$\partial^{\alpha} \theta_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}} \overline{\dot{\partial}}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}},$$

$$\int d^{2} \theta \theta^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \partial^{2} \theta^{2} = -\partial_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \theta^{2} = -1, \quad \int d^{2} \bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \overline{\dot{\partial}}^{2} \bar{\theta}^{2} = -\overline{\dot{\partial}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \overline{\dot{\partial}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{2} = -1,$$

$$(\sigma_{m} \bar{\sigma}_{n} - \sigma_{n} \bar{\sigma}_{m}) \equiv \sigma_{mn},$$

$$\sigma_{m}^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} (\bar{\sigma}_{n})_{\dot{\beta} \gamma} = \eta_{mn} \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{mn}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma},$$

$$\text{Tr} (\sigma_{m} \bar{\sigma}_{n} \sigma_{k} \bar{\sigma}_{l}) = 2 (\eta_{mn} \eta_{kl} - \eta_{nl} \eta_{mk} + \eta_{ml} \eta_{nk} + i \epsilon_{mnkl}),$$

$$\epsilon_{0123} = 1.$$

The algebra of supersymmetry and covariant derivatives is

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{\alpha}Q^{\alpha} + \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\varepsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha} \left(\partial^{\alpha} + i\sigma_{m}{}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\partial_{m} \right) + \left(\overleftarrow{\bar{\partial}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta_{\beta}\sigma_{m}{}^{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{m} \right)\bar{\varepsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \\ Q^{\alpha} &= \partial^{\alpha} + i\sigma_{m}{}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\partial_{m}, \quad \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= \overleftarrow{\bar{\partial}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta_{\beta}\sigma_{m}{}^{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{m}, \\ \{Q^{\alpha}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= -2i\sigma_{m}{}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\partial_{m}, \quad \{Q^{\alpha}, Q^{\beta}\} = \{\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \\ \{D^{\alpha}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= 0, \\ D^{\alpha} &= \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\left(\sigma_{m}\bar{\theta}\right)^{\alpha}\partial_{m}, \quad \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= \overleftarrow{\bar{\partial}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + i\left(\theta\sigma_{m}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{m}, \end{split}$$

$$\{D^{\alpha}, \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2i\sigma_{m}{}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\partial_{m}, \quad \{D^{\alpha}, D^{\beta}\} = \{\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0,$$

$$\left(D^{\alpha}\bar{D}^{2}D_{\alpha}\right)^{\dagger} = D^{\alpha}\bar{D}^{2}D_{\alpha},$$

$$\frac{D^{\alpha}\bar{D}^{2}D_{\alpha}}{8\Box} - \frac{D^{2}\bar{D}^{2} + \bar{D}^{2}D^{2}}{16\Box} = 1,$$

$$\Box = \eta_{mn}\partial_{m}\partial_{n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{0}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{0}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}} - \dots, \quad \eta_{mn} = (1, -1, -1, -1).$$
(A.1)

The classical rigid action $S^{\mathbb{R}}$ of the supersymmetric theory with N=1 supersymmetry without soft terms in the superfield formalism is

$$\int d^4y d^2\theta \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^7} \text{Tr} \, W_{\alpha} W^{\alpha} + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^7} \text{Tr} \, \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}
+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \, \bar{\Phi}^i(e^V)_i{}^j \Phi_j +
+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \left[y^{ijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k + M^{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j \right] + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \left[\bar{y}_{ijk} \bar{\Phi}^i \bar{\Phi}^j \bar{\Phi}^k + \bar{M}_{ij} \bar{\Phi}^i \bar{\Phi}^j \right].$$
(A.2)

Here W_{α} is the supertensity,

$$W^{\alpha} \equiv \bar{D}^2 \left(e^{-V} D^{\alpha} e^V \right).$$

For the real superfield V in the WZ gauge,

$$V = 2\theta \sigma_m \bar{\theta} A_m + \theta^2 \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\theta}^2 \theta_{\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha} + \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 D,$$

we have the following results

$$W^{\alpha} = -4\left(\lambda^{\alpha} - 2\theta^{\alpha}D + i\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\beta}\sigma_{mn}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}F_{mn} - i\theta^{2}\mathcal{D}_{m}(\sigma_{m}\bar{\lambda})^{\alpha}\right),$$
$$\int d^{4}yd^{2}\theta \operatorname{Tr} W_{\alpha}W^{\alpha} = \int d^{4}x\operatorname{Tr} 4^{2}\left(4D^{2} - 2F_{mn}F_{mn} + iF_{mn}\tilde{F}_{mn} + 2i\lambda\sigma_{m}\mathcal{D}_{m}\bar{\lambda}\right),$$

where the following notation is used:

$$F_{mn} \equiv \partial_m A_n - \partial_n A_m + i[A_m, A_n],$$

$$\mathcal{D}_m \lambda^{\alpha} \equiv \partial_m \lambda^{\alpha} + i[A_m, \lambda^{\alpha}],$$

$$\mathcal{D}_m \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv \partial_m \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i[\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}, A_m] = \partial_m \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} + i[A_m, \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}],$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_m \lambda^{\alpha})^{\dagger} = \mathcal{D}_m \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \tilde{F}_{mn} \equiv \epsilon_{mnkl} F_{kl}.$$

Hence, for the gauge part of (A.2) we have the component action

$$\int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2q^2} \text{Tr} \left(2D^2 - F_{mn}^2 + i\lambda \sigma_m \mathcal{D}_m \bar{\lambda} \right) \right].$$

All fields of the real supermultiplet are in the adjoint representation of the gauge group

$$W_{\alpha} = W_{\alpha}^{a} T^{a}$$
, $\operatorname{Tr} \left(T^{a} T^{b} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$, $\left(T^{a} \right)^{\dagger} = T^{a}$.

To fix the gauge we have to add the gauge fixing term and the ghost terms to the action (A.2) which can be chosen in the standard form [9]

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\bar{D}^2 V\right) \left(D^2 V\right)
+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Tr} b \bar{D}^2 \delta_{\bar{c},c} V + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \bar{b} D^2 \delta_{\bar{c},c} V.$$
(A.3)

where b and \bar{b} are the antighost chiral and antichiral superfields, and c and \bar{c} are the ghost chiral and antichiral superfields. In case if $\alpha = 1$ we have Feynman's gauge fixing term. Such a choice of the gauge fixing and the ghost terms means that we fix the gauge arbitrariness by imposing the condition

$$D^2V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \bar{f}(\bar{y},\bar{\theta}), \quad \bar{D}^2V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = f(y,\theta),$$

where \bar{f} and f are arbitrary chiral and antichiral functions. Under the gauge transformation the vector superfield V transforms as

$$e^V \to e^{\bar{\Lambda}} e^V e^{\Lambda},$$
 (A.4)

where $\bar{\Lambda}, \Lambda$ are antichiral and chiral degrees of gauge freedom. We define $\delta_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}V$ as the solution to the equation

$$e^{V+\delta_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}V} = e^{\bar{\Lambda}}e^Ve^{\Lambda}.$$

with infinitesimal fields $\bar{\Lambda}, \Lambda$. This equation can be transformed to the form

$$e^{V}\left(\delta_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}V\right) - \left(\delta_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}V\right)e^{V} = [V,\bar{\Lambda}]e^{V} + e^{V}[V,\Lambda] \tag{A.5}$$

that can be solved [9] as

$$\delta_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}V = \frac{V}{2}\coth\frac{V}{2} \wedge (\bar{\Lambda} + \Lambda) - \frac{V}{2} \wedge (\bar{\Lambda} - \Lambda).$$

Hence, the total gauge part of the classical action (A.2) is

$$S_{\text{gauge}}^{\text{R}} = \int d^4y d^2\theta \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^7} \text{Tr} \, W_{\alpha} W^{\alpha} + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^7} \text{Tr} \, \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \, \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\alpha} \text{Tr} \, \left(\bar{D}^2 V \right) \left(D^2 V \right)$$

$$+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \, \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \, b \, \bar{D}^2 \delta_{\bar{c},c} V + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \, \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \, \bar{b} \, D^2 \delta_{\bar{c},c} V.$$
(A.6)

Below we concentrate on the gauge part of the action. The short review of the procedure necessary to remove divergences from the effective action is given. This review is necessary to compare with the case of softly broken supersymmetry analyzed in the main part of this paper. This review is very concise and everybody who is interested in

more details can refer to the reviews [10, 11]. The BRST symmetry is reviewed in [11] and applications of Slavnov–Taylor identities to the renormalization of supersymmetric theories can be found in [10].

The action (A.6) is invariant under the BRST symmetry,

$$e^{V} \to e^{i\bar{c}\varepsilon} e^{V} e^{ic\varepsilon}, \qquad \delta b = \frac{1}{32} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\bar{D}^{2} D^{2} V \right) \varepsilon$$

$$c \to c + ic^{2}\varepsilon, \qquad \delta \bar{b} = \frac{1}{32} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(D^{2} \bar{D}^{2} V \right) \varepsilon,$$

$$\bar{c} \to \bar{c} - i\bar{c}^{2}\varepsilon, \qquad (A.7)$$

with an Hermitian Grassmannian parameter ε , $\varepsilon^{\dagger} = \varepsilon$. This looks like a gauge transformation for the vector superfield (A.4). The transformation of the ghost superfields is caused by the transformation of $\delta_{\bar{c},c}V$ under the BRST transformation of V in (A.7). By construction, $\delta_{\bar{c},c}V$ is the solution to the equation (A.5) when $\bar{\Lambda}$, Λ are replaced with \bar{c} , c respectively. If in the equation (A.5) we put the transformed vector superfield $V + \delta_{i\bar{c}\varepsilon,ic\varepsilon}V$ according to

$$e^{V+\delta_{i\bar{c}\varepsilon,ic\varepsilon}V} = e^{i\bar{c}\varepsilon}e^Ve^{ic\varepsilon}$$

instead of V, we get that the solution $\delta_{\bar{c},c}V$ to eq. (A.5) takes the transformation $\delta\left(\delta_{\bar{c},c}V\right)$ that satisfies to the equation

$$e^{V}\left(\delta\left(\delta_{\bar{c},c}V\right)\right)-\left(\delta\left(\delta_{\bar{c},c}V\right)\right)e^{V}=\left[V,i\bar{c}^{2}\varepsilon\right]e^{V}+e^{V}\left[V,-ic^{2}\varepsilon\right].$$

The transformations of the ghost superfields in (A.7) compensate this transformation of the $\delta_{\bar{c},c}V$, so that the total BRST transformation of $\delta_{\bar{c},c}V$ is vanishing,

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} \left(\delta_{\bar{c},c} V \right) = 0.$$

At the same time, the transformation of antighost superfields b, \bar{b} is necessary to remove the non-invariance of the gauge fixing term.

The path integral for the rigid theory is defined as

$$Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}, \rho, \bar{\rho}, K, L, \bar{L}] = \int dV \ dc \ d\bar{c} \ db \ d\bar{b} \ \exp i \left[S_{\text{gauge}}^{\text{R}} \right]$$

$$+2 \text{ Tr} \left(JV + i\eta c + i\bar{\eta}\bar{c} + i\rho b + i\bar{\rho}\bar{b} \right) + 2 \text{ Tr} \left(iK\delta_{\bar{c},c}V + Lc^2 + \bar{L}\bar{c}^2 \right) .$$
(A.8)

The third term in the brackets is the BRST invariant since the external superfields K and L are BRST invariant by definition. All fields in the path integral are in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. For the sake of brevity we omit the symbol of integration in the terms with external sources, keeping in mind that it is the full superspace measure for vector superfields and the chiral measure for chiral superfields.

Having made the change of fields in the path integral

$$b \to b + \varepsilon, \quad \bar{b} \to \bar{b} + \bar{\varepsilon}$$

with an arbitrary chiral superfield ε , two identities can be obtained

$$\bar{\rho} - i\frac{1}{4}D^2\frac{\delta W}{\delta K} = 0, \quad \rho - i\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta W}{\delta K} = 0,$$
 (A.9)

where the standard definition for the connected diagrams generator is used,

$$Z = e^{-iW}$$
.

For the derivative with respect to vector superfield we use the definition

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta K} \equiv T^a \frac{\delta}{\delta K^a},$$

while the derivative with respect to chiral superfield is defined from the requirement

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(y,\theta)} \int d^4y' d^2\theta' \ 2 \text{Tr} \ \eta(y',\theta') c(y',\theta') = c(y,\theta) \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \eta^a(y',\theta')}{\delta \eta^b(y,\theta)} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 \delta^{(8)}(z-z') \delta^{ab}.$$

Here z is the definition for the total superspace coordinate $z=(x,\theta,\bar{\theta})$, so that

$$\delta^{(8)}(z-z') = \delta^{(4)}(x-x') \ \delta^{(2)}(\theta-\theta') \ \delta^{(2)}(\bar{\theta}-\bar{\theta}').$$

The effective action Γ is related to W by the Legendre transformation

$$V \equiv -\frac{\delta W}{\delta J}, \quad ic \equiv -\frac{\delta W}{\delta \eta}, \quad i\bar{c} \equiv -\frac{\delta W}{\delta \bar{\eta}}, \quad ib \equiv -\frac{\delta W}{\delta \rho}, \quad i\bar{b} \equiv -\frac{\delta W}{\delta \bar{\rho}}, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\Gamma = -W - 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left(JV + i\eta c + i\bar{\eta}\bar{c} + i\rho b + i\bar{\rho}\bar{b} \right) \equiv -W - 2 \operatorname{Tr} (X\phi), \qquad (A.11)$$

$$(X\phi) \equiv i^{G(k)}X^k\phi^k,$$

$$X \equiv (J, \eta, \bar{\eta}, \rho, \bar{\rho}), \quad \phi \equiv \left(V, c, \bar{c}, b, \bar{b} \right),$$

where G(k) = 0 if ϕ^k is the Bose superfield and G(k) = 1 if ϕ^k is the Fermi superfield. Iteratively all equations (A.10) can be reversed,

$$X = X[\phi, K, L, \bar{L}],$$

and the effective action is defined in terms of new variables, $\Gamma = \Gamma[\phi, K, L, \bar{L}]$. Hence, the following equalities take place

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta V} &= -\frac{\delta X^a}{\delta V} \frac{\delta W}{\delta X^a} - i^{G(a)} \frac{\delta X^a}{\delta V} \phi^a - J = -J, \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K} &= -\frac{\delta X^a}{\delta K} \frac{\delta W}{\delta X^a} - i^{G(a)} \frac{\delta X^a}{\delta K} \phi^a - \frac{\delta W}{\delta K} = -\frac{\delta W}{\delta K}, \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta c} &= i\eta, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{c}} = i\bar{\eta}, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{b}} = i\rho, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{b}} = i\bar{\rho}, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{L}} = -\frac{\delta W}{\delta L}, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{L}} = -\frac{\delta W}{\delta \bar{L}}. \end{split} \tag{A.12}$$

Here all Grassmannian derivatives are left derivatives. Therefore, the ghost equations (A.9) can be written as

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{b}} - \frac{1}{4}D^2 \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta b} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K} = 0. \tag{A.13}$$

If the change of fields (A.7) in the path integral (A.8) is made, that we get the Slavnov–Taylor identity as the result of invariance of the integral (A.8) under a change of variables,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[J\frac{\delta}{\delta K} - i\eta\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\delta}{\delta L}\right) + i\bar{\eta}\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{L}}\right) + i\rho\left(\frac{1}{32}\frac{1}{\alpha}\bar{D}^2D^2\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right) + i\bar{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{32}\frac{1}{\alpha}D^2\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right)\right]W = 0,$$

or, taking into account the relations (A.12), we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta V}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K} - i\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta c}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta L} + i\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{c}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{L}} - \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta b}\left(\frac{1}{32}\frac{1}{\alpha}\bar{D}^{2}D^{2}V\right) - \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{b}}\left(\frac{1}{32}\frac{1}{\alpha}D^{2}\bar{D}^{2}V\right)\right] = 0.$$
(A.14)

The identities (A.13) and (A.14) allow us to remove all possible divergences from the effective action Γ by rescaling superfields and couplings in the classical action (A.6). Indeed, the identity (A.13) restricts the dependence of Γ on the antighost superfields and on the external source K to an arbitrary dependence on their combination $b + \bar{b} + K$. This means that the corresponding singular part of the effective action is

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left(b + \bar{b} + K \right) \ A(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}),$$

where $A(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ is a combination of c, \bar{c}, V . By index counting arguments we know that the singular part repeats the structure of the classical action (A.6) up to coefficients. Hence, $A(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ starts from the $z_1(c + \bar{c})$, since Γ is Hermitian. Here z_1 is a constant that can be found by using the supergraph technique. The Slavnov–Taylor identity (A.14) fixes the coefficient before the longitudinal part of the 2-point vector Green's function. Indeed, by using projectors from (A.1) the 2-point vector correlator can be decomposed as

$$V\left(D,\bar{D},D,\bar{D}\right)V = V\left(D,\bar{D},D,\bar{D}\right)\frac{D^{\alpha}D^{2}D_{\alpha}}{8\Box}V$$

$$-V\left(D,\bar{D},D,\bar{D}\right)\frac{D^{2}\bar{D}^{2}+\bar{D}^{2}D^{2}}{16\Box}V,$$
(A.15)

where the four derivatives in parenthesis can stand in some (in general, unknown) way. The identity (A.14) means that these four derivatives in the second term of this decomposition must cancel the \Box in the denominator, and the second term is reduced to the form

$$z_2 \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{32} V \left(D^2 \bar{D}^2 + \bar{D}^2 D^2 \right) V.$$

The Slavnov–Taylor identity also gives that $z_2 = 1$, that is there is no infinite correction to the longitudinal part of the 2-point vector function. The same arguments can be applied even in the case of the total effective action, taking into account the whole dependence of the effective action Γ on the combination $b + \bar{b} + K$. Hence, there is no finite correction to the longitudinal part of the 2-point vector correlator.

Now it is necessary to consider contributions into $A(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ of the next orders in fields. For example, the third order terms can be presented as

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left(b + \bar{b} + K \right) \ \left[z_1(c + \bar{c}) + z_4 \left(Vc + \bar{c}V \right) + z_5 \left(cV + V\bar{c} \right) \right] \ (A.16)$$
$$+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \ 2\text{Tr} \ z_6 Lc^2 + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2\text{Tr} \ \bar{z}_6 \bar{L}\bar{c}^2$$

By the no-renormalization theorem for the superpotential [9] we get

$$z_6 = \bar{z}_6 = 1.$$

To fix the constants z_4 and z_5 , we make the change of variables in the effective action Γ ,

$$\Gamma\left[V, c, \bar{c}, b, \bar{b}, K, L, \bar{L}\right] = \Gamma\left[V(\tilde{V}), c, \bar{c}, b, \bar{b}, K(\tilde{K}), L, \bar{L}\right] = \tilde{\Gamma}\left[\tilde{V}, c, \bar{c}, b, \bar{b}, \tilde{K}, L, \bar{L}\right],$$

$$V = \tilde{V}z_{1}, \quad K = \frac{\tilde{K}}{z_{1}}.$$
(A.17)

The Slavnov–Taylor identity (A.14) in new variables is

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\tilde{V}}\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\tilde{K}} - i\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta c}\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta L} + i\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\bar{c}}\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\bar{L}} - \frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta b}\left(\frac{1}{32}\frac{1}{\alpha}\bar{D}^{2}D^{2}\tilde{V}z_{1}\right) - \frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\bar{b}}\left(\frac{1}{32}\frac{1}{\alpha}D^{2}\bar{D}^{2}\tilde{V}z_{1}\right)\right] = 0.$$
(A.18)

The part of the effective action (A.16) in the new variables looks like

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b})z_1 + \tilde{K} \right) \ \left[(c + \bar{c}) + z_4' \left(\tilde{V}c + \bar{c}\tilde{V} \right) + z_5' \left(c\tilde{V} + \tilde{V}\bar{c} \right) \right]
+ \int d^4y d^2\theta \ 2\text{Tr} \ Lc^2 + \int d^4\bar{y} d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2\text{Tr} \ \bar{L}\bar{c}^2, \tag{A.19}$$

where z'_4 and z'_5 are new constants.

The higher order terms in the brackets of (A.19) are restored unambiguously by themselves in the iterative way due to the first three terms in the modified identities (A.18). As the result we have

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ 2i \ \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b}) z_1 + \tilde{K} \right) \ \left[\delta_{\bar{c},c} \tilde{V} \right]. \tag{A.20}$$

Now it is necessary to consider the transversal part of the 2-point vector correlator. Having made the change of variables (A.17) in the effective action, we get the first term in the decomposition (A.15) as

$$\int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} z_3 \ z_1^2 \ \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^5} \text{Tr} \ D_\alpha \tilde{V} \bar{D}^2 D^\alpha \tilde{V} + \text{H.c.}.$$
(A.21)

This is the only gauge invariant combination fixed by the first term in the modified identities (A.18), if we take into account already fixed dependence (A.20) of the singular part of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on the external source \tilde{K} . It means that the four derivatives into the first term of the decomposition (A.15) cancel the D'Alambertian in the denominator. Here z_3 is a constant that can be found by using the supergraph technique [12].

The first term in the modified identity (A.18) will restore in the iterative way higher order terms starting from the bilinear transversal 2-point correlator (A.21). Hence, the result of this restoration is

$$\int d^4y d^2\theta \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{27} z_3 \, z_1^2 \, \text{Tr} \, W_\alpha(\tilde{V}) W^\alpha(\tilde{V}) + \text{H.c.}$$
 (A.22)

The singular part of the effective action $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be written as a combination of (A.22) and (A.20),

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{sing}} = \int d^4y d^2\theta \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^7} z_3 \, z_1^2 \, \text{Tr} \, W_{\alpha}(\tilde{V}) W^{\alpha}(\tilde{V}) + \text{H.c.}
+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \, \text{Tr} \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{32} z_1 \tilde{V} \left(D^2 \bar{D}^2 + \bar{D}^2 D^2 \right) z_1 \tilde{V}
+ \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \, 2i \, \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b}) z_1 + \tilde{K} \right) \, \left[\delta_{\bar{c},c} \tilde{V} \right].$$

Now we should go back to the initial variables V and K, that is, we should made the change of variables in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ reversed to (A.17). Hence, the singular part of the effective action which corresponds to the theory with the classical action (A.6) is

$$\Gamma_{\text{sing}} = \int d^4 y d^2 \theta \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2^7} z_3 \, z_1^2 \, \text{Tr} \, W_\alpha \left(\frac{V}{z_1}\right) W^\alpha \left(\frac{V}{z_1}\right) + \text{H.c.}
+ \int d^4 x d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \, \text{Tr} \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{32} V \left(D^2 \bar{D}^2 + \bar{D}^2 D^2\right) V
+ \int d^4 x d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \, 2i \, \text{Tr} \left((b + \bar{b}) z_1 + K z_1\right) \, \left[\delta_{\bar{c},c} \left(\frac{V}{z_1}\right)\right].$$
(A.23)

Hence, all possible divergences can be removed from the Γ_{sing} by the following rescaling of fields and couplings in the path integral (A.8)

$$V = V_R \ z_1, \quad \frac{1}{q^2} = \frac{1}{q_R^2} z_1^{-2} z_3^{-1}, \quad \alpha = z_1^2 \ \alpha_R, \quad b = b_R \ z_1^{-1}, \quad K = K_R \ z_1^{-1}.$$

References

- [1] Y. Yamada, Phys.Rev. D50(1994)3537.
- [2] J. Hisano, M. Shifman, Phys.Rev. D56(1997)5475
- [3] G.F. Giudice and R. Rattazzi, Nucl. Phys. B511(1998)25

- [4] I. Jack and D.R.T. Jones, Phys.Lett. B415(1997)383
- [5] L.V. Avdeev, D.I. Kazakov, and I.N. Kondrashuk, Nucl. Phys. B510(1998)289
- [6] N. Arkani-Hamed, G.F. Giudice, M.A. Luty and R. Rattazzi, Phys.Rev. D58(1998) 115005
- [7] I. Kondrashuk, Phys.Lett. B470(1999)129
- [8] M.A. Luty, R. Rattazzi, JHEP 9911:001 (1999)
- [9] P. West, "Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity", World Scientific, 1986.
- [10] O. Piguet, "Supersymmetry, supercurrent, and scale invariance", hep-th/9611003.
- [11] C. Becchi, "Introduction to BRS symmetry", hep-th/9607181.
- [12] M.T. Grisaru and W. Siegel, M. Roček, Nucl. Phys. B159(1979)429;
- [13] M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, Phys.Rev. D48(1993)1277; M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, Yu. Shirman, Phys.Rev.D51(1995)1362; M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, Y. Nir, Yu. Shirman, Phys.Rev.D53(1996)2658; G.F. Giudice and R. Rattazzi, hep-ph/9801271.
- [14] A.A. Slavnov, Theor.Math.Phys. 117(1998)1364.
- [15] T. Kobayashi, J. Kubo and G. Zoupanos, Phys.Lett. B427(1998) 291; G.D. Kribs, Nucl.Phys. B535(1998)41; I. Jack, D.R. Jones and A. Pickering, Phys. Lett. B432(1998)114; T. Kobayashi, J. Kubo, M. Mondragon and G. Zoupanos, Nucl.Phys. B550(1999)99; K. Huitu and T. Kobayashi, Phys.Lett. B470(1999)901; I. Jack and D.R.T. Jones, Phys.Lett. B465(1999)148; D. Kazakov and G. Moultaka, hep-ph/9912271; D.I. Kazakov, "Supersymmetry in particle physics: The renormalization group viewpoint," hep-ph/0001257.